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ABSTRACT
This paper describes an end-to-end compact acoustic communication system designed for easy integration into remotely controlled underwater operations. The system supports up to 2048 commands that are encoded as 16 bit words. We present the design, hardware, and supporting algorithms for this system. A pulse-based FSK modulation scheme is presented, along with a method of demodulation requiring minimal processing power that leverages the Goertzel algorithm and dynamic peak detection. We packaged the system together with an intuitive user interface for remotely controlling an autonomous underwater vehicle. We evaluated this system in the pool and in the open ocean. We present the communication data collected during experiments using the system to control an underwater robot.

Categories and Subject Descriptors
C.3 [Special-Purpose and Application Based Systems]: Real-time and embedded systems; C.2.4 [Computer Communications Networks]: Distributed Systems

General Terms
Acoustic Communication, Theory, Algorithms, Design, Experimentation

Keywords
underwater acoustic communication, underwater low-bandwidth robot control, system integration, Goertzel algorithm, microcontroller

1. INTRODUCTION
We wish to develop intuitive systems that can be used to control underwater operations. Such systems may be employed by a human diver to send commands to an underwater robot, changing its mission in real time. The system may also be used to configure underwater sensor networks, underwater communications systems, or to support underwater communication between people. Motivated primarily by the need to control the navigation of a small underwater robot in a complex environment consisting of corals and rocks, we developed a compact, low-power module that gives a human user the capability to observe an underwater scene and issue real-time navigation commands to the robot such as “swim forward”, “turn left”, or “ascend”. Since remote robot navigation can be achieved with a limited command vocabulary, we developed a custom system that is architecturally lean and supports a vocabulary of up to 2048 16-bit commands (including Hamming encoding). Several application challenges informed the design. First, the receiver and demodulation algorithms must be robust in the presence of significant broad-spectrum noise since in general underwater robots and sensors have compact packaging that may place the receiver near loud motors and servos. Finally, the communication
system should be low cost, low power, and easy to use. As underwater robotics and sensor networks become more prevalent, there is an increasing need for such small, low cost, easily deployable underwater communication systems. Various solutions have been developed based on radio frequencies (RF), optical links, and acoustic signals, but they are often bulky, expensive, or computationally intensive. While many of these systems are designed for long range and/or high bandwidth general communications, applications such as remotely controlling underwater robots within visible range can be satisfied with slower data rates and shorter ranges. The work described in this paper focuses on implementing a compact, low cost, low power, architecturally lean, and easy to use acoustic transmitter and receiver with minimal custom hardware.

The end-to-end acoustic communication system consists of a modular transmitter packaged in a user interface and a modular receiver that can be integrated in an underwater asset such as a robot. The transmitter consists of a Raspberry Pi and a commercially available DAC for audio output, while the receiver consists of a preamplification and filtering board connected to an Mbed microcontroller. A pulse-based FSK modulation scheme is presented, along with a method of demodulation requiring minimal processing power that leverages the Goertzel algorithm and dynamic peak detection. The user interface consists of a game controller packaged in a 22 cm x 22 cm x 8 cm water-tight enclosure.

We have evaluated the underwater remote control system in the pool and in the ocean. These experiments were a first step towards characterizing its communication parameters and using the system to control a small robot: a bio-inspired robotic fish measuring approximately 47 cm x 24 cm x 18.5 cm with only 30 cm³ available for the acoustic receiver. The remote control unit successfully steered the robot during 6, 45-minute trials in a complex underwater environment. The remote control system supports 2048 disconnected to an Mbed microcontroller. A pulse-based FSK receiver consists of a preamplification and filtering board connected to an Mbed microcontroller. A pulse-based FSK modulation scheme is presented, along with a method of demodulation requiring minimal processing power that leverages the Goertzel algorithm and dynamic peak detection. The user interface consists of a game controller packaged in a 22 cm x 22 cm x 8 cm water-tight enclosure.

This paper contributes the following:

- an end-to-end unidirectional acoustic communication system whose modulation is software defined and whose only custom electronics are filtering and amplification circuits
- an acoustic transmitter module packaged with an intuitive user interface that can be carried and operated by an underwater operator
- a receiver module that can be integrated with an underwater asset such as a robot and that uses a single Mbed microcontroller for sampling, signal processing, demodulation, and robot control
- a modulation scheme based on Frequency Shift Keying (FSK), and algorithms for efficiently demodulating the transmitted words
- experimental results with the remote control of robot fish in a complex coral reef environment in the ocean

This paper discusses related work in Section 2 and our approach to modulation and encoding in Section 3. Section 4 describes the software and hardware of the transmitter and the receiver. Results from experiments in a fish tank, a pool, and the open ocean are then presented in Section 5 and Section 6 concludes and indicates future work.

2. RELATED WORK

One avenue that has been explored for underwater communication is to use RF signals [16]. However, radio-frequency signals are rapidly attenuated in saltwater, severely restricting the feasible transmission range [7]. Optical communications are a common alternative choice for aquatic data transmission, and works such as [22, 10, 11] have used optical systems to transmit data. However, visible light is subject to significant scattering, and ambient light near the surface can introduce noise in the communication channel. In addition, optical links are typically directional and may require steering apparatus or arrays of transmitters and receivers in order to achieve omni-directional communication.

Considering the difficulty of communicating underwater via electromagnetic waves, acoustic transmission has been widely adopted [8, 20]. Yet the underwater environment also presents challenges for acoustic methods [2], such as multipath effects and Doppler shifts. A modem developed at the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution [14, 12] is able to operate in this difficult environment, but the physical size and the power consumption are too large for the compact underwater devices targeted in this paper. Similarly, many other modems such as those described in [17, 19] and commercially available modems such as [4, 15] are generally focused on higher data rates and longer ranges than are required for remote underwater operation by a diver, and become too bulky and expensive for the presented application. Additionally, many acoustic modems such as [22] use hardware-defined signal generation and detection, which limits the available processing and reduces versatility.

Compared to existing systems, we desired a module that does not require elaborate synchronization between transmitter and receiver and that uses a lean communication protocol. Most of the previous works are designed to send large chunks of arbitrary data, but become inefficient for sending small amounts of data at a time as an underwater control application requires. We wanted a modular plug and play system for sending words from a limited vocabulary, that could be easily integrated into an existing compact underwater robot and that facilitates the easy implementation of various encoding schemes.

3. MODULATION AND ENCODING

Figure 1 summarizes the architecture of the acoustic communication module for underwater remote control. It illustrates an encapsulated controller as transmitter and a robotic fish as receiver, but the system is modular and can be integrated in any underwater system. This section describes the underlying approach to encoding bits and words, addresses the problems of multipath and frequency shifting, and provides an explanation for the chosen communication frequencies. The implementation of these concepts is then given in Section 4.

3.1 Encoding of Bits

We implemented a modulation scheme that can be efficiently demodulated by a microcontroller while still addressing acoustic challenges such as multipath and Doppler shift. The scheme is based on Binary Frequency Shift Keying (BFSK), where two frequencies are chosen to each represent a binary 1 and 0. Rather than continuously playing either of these tones, however, our protocol represents a bit
as a brief pulse of the appropriate tone followed by a period of silence as illustrated in Figure 2. An advantage of this modulation is that it can be reliably demodulated by a microcontroller without requiring specialized circuitry or intensive processing. Furthermore, it does not require elaborate methods of establishing synchronization or alignment between the transmitter and the receiver.

3.1.1 Frequency Choice

The sampling rate of the microcontroller was set to 250 kHz, so the frequency content of our signals must be below 125 kHz. The tone detector’s parameters yield an effective bandwidth of 2 kHz, so the frequencies used for FSK modulation should preferably be separated by twice this amount to prevent cross contamination between channels.

We also considered ambient noise in the ocean as a source of interference with the receiver. Man-made sources and seismic activity add broad spectrum noise to the ocean, though frequency-dependent attenuation limits the likely level of interference from distant sources to frequencies less than 10 kHz. Noise from wind and waves can be considerable between 10 kHz and 100 kHz and is more significant in shallow water [21, 6]. Additionally, noise from fish is typically below 10 kHz [3]. Finally, it has been observed that the hearing sensitivity of many common aquatic species decays significantly above 10 kHz [3, 18] although some cetaceans and pinnipeds can hear well above this range [1].

Taking these considerations into account, the current implementation employs a tone of \( f_0 = 36 \text{ kHz} \) to represent the binary symbol 0 and a tone of \( f_1 = 30 \text{ kHz} \) to represent the binary symbol 1.

3.1.2 Multipath and Reflections: Pulse Timing

Our scheme addresses reflections via the guard intervals between pulses, which are chosen to be long enough to outlast dominant reflections. The receiver only needs to detect leading edges of pulses; as soon as it identifies a pulse, it enters a waiting (guard) state and ignores incoming signals. We observed that most reflections die off after approximately 20 ms when testing in a fish tank and after approximately 3 ms in a large pool (see Figure 3). The current implementation sets a very conservative \( t_{\text{guard}} = 45 \text{ ms} \). The duration of the pulse must be long enough to ensure reliable detection at the receiver, and the current implementation sets \( t_{\text{pulse}} = 5 \text{ ms} \).

3.1.3 Frequency Shifts

Another challenge of underwater communications is frequency shifting. A common cause of this is the Doppler effect, which can be significant when considering the relatively slow speed of sound in water [9]. In addition, clock drift in the microcontrollers may cause discrepancies in the received frequency content. Our modulation scheme therefore includes a tone detector bandwidth, currently 2 kHz, wide enough to accommodate Doppler shifts and oscillator uncertainty.

3.2 Encoding of Words

Data words are encoded by sequentially transmitting bits followed by a waiting period. This waiting duration is lower-bounded by the bit-level guard interval. The current implementation conservatively uses at least twice \( t_{\text{guard}} \) to ensure the reliable detection of the start of a word.

The target application requires the transmitter to control four parameters: thrust, frequency, pitch, and yaw. Thrust and frequency are each defined to have 4 states, while pitch and yaw are each defined to have 7 states, so the entire fish state can be represented by 10 bits. An additional bit is included to toggle video recording. These 11 bits are expanded into a 16-bit word via a (15, 11) Hamming encoding with an additional parity bit.

4. IMPLEMENTATION

Our modulation protocol was implemented as a small and low cost platform suitable for integration into a compact robot fish. Figure 4 shows a block diagram of the system.

4.1 Transmitter

4.1.1 Hardware

The main hardware components of the transmitter are a Raspberry Pi Model B+ with a HiFiBerry DAC+, a PCB containing an audio amplifier and output transformer, indicator LEDs, an unamplified hydrophone, and a USB game controller. These were packaged into a waterproof OtterBox containing an audio amplifier and output transformer, indicator LEDs, an unamplified hydrophone, and a USB game controller. The box was then filled with mineral oil to provide pressure equalization across the membrane.

4.1.2 Software

In order to maintain versatility, a wav file was precomputed according to our modulation scheme for every possible data word. The stereo wav files’ Left and Right channels are inverted relative to each other to form a differential drive signal for a mono amplifier, and use 16-bit sampling at 192 kHz. As the user uses the game pad, the desired state of the robot is updated on the transmitter and indicated via LEDs, and the appropriate wav file is selected. Since communications are one-directional, no acknowledgments from the AUV are possible; the current state is repeatedly played once per second so that if a transmission is corrupted, the robot will eventually receive the desired state.

4.2 Receiver

4.2.1 Hardware

The receiver is implemented using an Mbed microcontroller based on the NXP LPC1768 with a 32-bit ARM Cortex-M3 core running at 96 MHz. The on-board 12-bit ADC is used to sample the audio signals coming from a hydrophone via a PCB containing filtering and pre-amplification circuits. Space and mass limitations restricted the hydrophone...
choice to small unamplified piezoelectric types. The model we selected (AS-1 from Aquarian Audio) has a typical voltage-mode receive sensitivity of -207 dB re 1 V/µPa (1 Vrms input at 1 m range) at 30 kHz and can be driven at up to 70 V peak-to-peak, yielding a transmit sound pressure level of 143 dB re 1 V/µPa. A spherical spreading model predicts that the received signal level at 10 m range will be 70 µV RMS, requiring 80 dB of gain to saturate the ADC. We designed a multi-stage amplification board that employs a low-noise JFET common-source amplifier to buffer the hydrophone and provide 17 dB of gain. The signal is then bandpass-filtered and amplified by 40 dB using a Quad Op Amp circuit in a Sallen-Key topology. The pass band is 20 kHz wide, centered at 30 kHz, and employs a Bessel response to provide uniform group delay. Finally, the signal passes through a variable gain amplifier (VGA), capable of controlling the gain from 0 to 40 dB, in 7 increments distributed linearly-in-db. Audio signals often span many decades of intensity and the VGA stage allows the detector to ensure that the limited dynamic range of the 12-bit ADC is matched to the strength of the received signal. The entire receiver consumes approximately 815 mW during normal operation (with the microcontroller using about 740 mW of that power).

The small microcontroller and limited custom hardware enable installation within a compact underwater device. For example, it was integrated into a robotic fish that only has 30 cm³ available for the acoustic receiver.

4.2.2 Sampling

The Mbed’s onboard ADC is configured to be triggered by a hardware timer every 4 µs. A Direct Memory Access (DMA) chain then fills a buffer with these samples, allowing fast sampling to be achieved without consuming processor time; signal processing and demodulation can occur while the next buffer of samples is being acquired by the hardware. Note that this implies the demodulation algorithm must be fast enough to terminate in less time than it takes to acquire a buffer of samples.

4.2.3 Tone Detection and Adaptive Peak Detection

Although performing a Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) on the buffers of samples proved too computationally intensive for the embedded application, individual terms of the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) can be computed using the Goertzel algorithm [13], which was implemented on the Mbed using fixed-point arithmetic. The effective bin width of the Goertzel algorithm is defined by the sampling interval and the buffer size; the current sampling frequency is 250 kHz and each buffer consists of 125 samples, yielding an effective bandwidth of 2 kHz. The computed tone powers are low-pass filtered and passed to an adaptive peak detection algorithm, which maintains a circular buffer of the last 25 ms worth of results (half of a bit width using the current timing). As each new result arrives, the buffer is evaluated to determine whether or not a tone has been detected; it imposes some a priori knowledge about the anticipated shape of a peak, and uses the other frequency channel as an estimate of noise level since only one tone should ever be present at a time. The structure of a peak is imposed by conceptually dividing the buffer into a smaller region of the most recent outputs, $b_{small}$, and the remaining larger portion of older outputs, $b_{large}$. The following are then tested:

- $b_{small} > b_{large} \times 0.625$
- $\max b_{small} > \max b_{large}$
- $b_{small} > \max b_{small-other-channel} \times 1.14$
- $b_{large} > \max b_{large-other-channel} \times 0.625$
- $\max b_{small} > b_{large} \times 0.625$
- $\max b_{small} > 100$

If at least 6 positive detection decisions are made within a period of 10 samples, a tone is declared present. For computational efficiency, summations are stored rather than averages and the constant factors above were chosen so that all calculations can be achieved using bit shifts rather than explicit multiplication or division.

Using these optimizations, the algorithm completes within the time it takes for a buffer of samples to be gathered. All processing of a buffer, including Goertzel filtering, peak detection, demodulation, and adjustable gain control, completes in under 120 µs (40% of the buffer acquisition time).

This adaptive peak detection algorithm, combined with the inherit ability of the Goertzel algorithm to accentuate tones of the desired tones, allows the receiver to be robust to noise as well as rapidly varying signal levels that occur as the hydrophones are displaced relative to each other and the underwater channel characteristics change.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm 1</th>
<th>Outline of Bit and Word Detection Algorithm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1: $\text{timer} \leftarrow 0$</td>
<td>Counts sample buffers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: bitIndex $\leftarrow 0$</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Index into current data word</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: betweenWords $\leftarrow \text{false}$</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Whether we think we are in the inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: while Receiver Running do</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Using DMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: Acquire buffer of samples</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Using DMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6: $\text{timer} \leftarrow \text{timer} + 1$</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Using DMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7: Apply adaptive thresholding to tone detector outputs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8: if tone is detected then</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9: if $\text{timer} &gt; \text{interWordWait}$ then</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10: if betweenWords and have complete word then</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11: Decode Hamming word and command fish</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12: $\text{bitIndex} \leftarrow 0$</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13: else if $-$betweenWords then</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14: $\text{bitIndex} \leftarrow 0$</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15: $\text{betweenWords} \leftarrow \text{false}$</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16: end if</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17: else if betweenWords $\leftarrow \text{false}$</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18: $\text{bitIndex} \leftarrow 0$</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19: end if</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20: if $-$betweenWords then</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21: Add new bit to current word at index $\text{bitIndex}$</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22: $\text{bitIndex} \leftarrow \text{bitIndex} + 1$</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23: $\text{timer} \leftarrow 0$</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24: if have complete word then</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25: $\text{betweenWords} \leftarrow \text{true}$</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26: $\text{bitIndex} \leftarrow 0$</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27: end if</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28: end if</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29: Set flag to wait for inter-bit guard period</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30: end if</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31: end while</td>
<td>$\triangleright$ Check for inter-word guard interval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.4 Word Detection

The timing of the modulation protocol forms the basis of a state machine, outlined in Algorithm 1, that gathers bits into complete words of data. This timing is also used to detect erroneous bit insertions and deletions, while the Hamming code is used to detect erroneous bit flips. Although synchronization may be lost due to erroneous bit insertions
or deletions, the state machine will detect this at the completion of a word and automatically reestablish synchronization at the start of the next word.

4.2.5 Adjustable Gain Control

In order to account for varying signal levels, the preamplifier offers 7 levels of programmable gain. As each buffer of samples is gathered, the Mbed records the average value of the raw incoming signal (computed using a bit shift rather than explicit division) and sums them over a 10 second period. Knowing the current gain being applied to the signal, the ideal new gain to keep the received signal at about 2 Volts Peak-to-Peak can be calculated. From the available gains of 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, and 100, the best setting is then chosen and applied.

5. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We evaluated this system in a fish tank, a pool, and in the ocean to evaluate its reliability, speed, range, and robustness. We present data collected from experiments where the acoustic communications were isolated and from experiments where the system was used to control an autonomous underwater robotic fish.

5.1 Pool and Tank Experiments

The communication system was evaluated in a fish tank (1.2 m × 0.3 m × 0.45 m), a small pool (12.5 m × 5.5 m × 1.2 m) and a big pool (23 m × 12.5 m × 3 m), whose confined natures lead to interfering reflections. Although signal paths in the pool have longer times of flight, highly delayed reflections lead to interfering reflections. Although signal paths in the ocean to evaluate its reliability, speed, range, and robustness.

We investigated the system’s ability to cope with these challenges by transmitting a sequence of 200 alternating bits at a rate of 20 bits/second. The entire sequence was successfully received without any errors in the fish tank at a distance of 0.5 m with the motor turned off. Results from performing the experiment in the pool at various distances and depths, both with the motor off and on, are presented in Figure 5. With the receiver very close to the surface, error-free communication was observed at a 6 m range and about 70% of the data was received at a range over 10 m. When the receiver was submerged to a few meters, error-free communication was observed for ranges up to about 15 m, with over 97% of the data being successfully received at 21 m. Shallower communications are more challenging due to the increased strength of surface reflections as well as noise such as waves and swimmers. The effective communication range remains similar when the robot’s motor is turned on, indicating the receiver’s ability to cope with additional noise. However, more trials would be necessary to make a statistically significant comparison between the performance with and without motor noise.

The results indicate that effective control can be established at 20 bits/second over about 15 to 20 m (and possibly longer with additional error correction logic). To demonstrate this, 16 bit data words were transmitted with 50 ms for each bit and 200 ms between words. At separations of about 0.5 m in the fish tank and 10 m in the small pool, a 250 word sequence was successfully received without any er-
6. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

An acoustic communication modem suitable for easy integration into a remotely controlled underwater robot has been described. All signal processing and data detection is performed using commercially available embedded devices, making it both compact and low-cost. In addition, the modulation and demodulation are both defined in software, making it versatile and facilitating alternate protocols.

The communication system was tested by itself both in a fish tank and in a pool, where multipath issues are substantial. Additionally, it was integrated into a robot fish and deployed in an ocean environment. Despite the limited processing power available at the receiver, the fish was successfully controlled over a few meters even in the presence of motor noise.

In the future, the Raspberry Pi of the transmitter could be replaced with a smaller microcontroller such as an Mbed to reduce transmitter complexity and size. Furthermore, we will aim to increase the data rate as well as the operable range of the modem. A conservative data rate was chosen based on the design requirements, but it can likely be increased by merely adjusting the protocol timing. Further data rate increases may also be gained by adjusting the tone detector frequency bin size to be more selective or by tuning the peak detection algorithm. Different modulation protocols can be implemented on the microcontroller to investigate their ability to operate in the presence of noise and varying signal channels. Finally, the modulation scheme can be extended to facilitate controlling multiple devices simultaneously.
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